

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CoMMISSI-NER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D ** 2023*** www.uspto.jov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,857	04 17 2001	Seiichi Iwamatsu	12179-P098US	5893
29444	7590 11 20 2002			
KELLY KORDZIK WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C. 5400 RENAISSANCE TOWER			EXAMINER	
			PADGETT, MARIANNE L	
DALLAS, TX 75270			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

CB 836857

Examiner,

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

1762

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address -

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O				
Disposition of Claims				
-⊠ Claim(s) /- /4	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
□ Claim(s)				
58 Claim(s) / - / 4				
Application Papers	requirement			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ app	roved 🗆 disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119 (a)–(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applic	eation No			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been rece	eived			
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Ru	ule 17.2(a))			
*Certified copies not received:	·			
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Appli				
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other			

Office Action Summary

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as

other materials, such as deposition precursors, etc., may be

electron beam treated, in either patterned or non-patterned

fashion.

Serial No. 09/836,857

Art Unit 1762

shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Kelly Kordzik on 11/13/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. A message was left but no reply had been received by 11/18/02.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to M. L. Padgett from Monday through Friday from about 8 A.M. to 4:30 P.M. at telephone number (703) 308-2336 and FAX #703 872-9310 (official), 872-9311 (official after final) and 305-6078 (unofficial).

MLPadgett:cdc/ Nov. 18, 2002

November 19, 2002